

2  
3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 2607 OF 2002

BETWEEN

Rajendra Kumar Mishra Son of Late Shri Ramashanker Mishra, aged about 67 years, Retired Professor of Chemistry, resident of House No.1, HIG-1, Sector 3, Pandit Din Dayal Upadhyay Nagar Dangania, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (CG).

PETITIONER

A N D

P.R. No. 2562/02  
Presented by Shri. S. Perera  
dated 12.11.02

The State of Chhattisgarh  
Through the Secretary Department of Higher  
Education, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur.

2.

The State of Madhya Pradesh,  
Through the Secretary Department of Higher  
Education, Mantralay, Vallabh Bhawan, BHOPAL  
(MP).

3.

Pandit Ravi Shanker Shukla University,  
Through its Registrar, Raipur,  
Tehsila and District Raipur (CG).

RESPONDENTS



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 2601/2002

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक<br>तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                    | <p><u>9.11.2005</u></p> <p>Petitioner by Shri B. D. Guru, Advocate.</p> <p>Respondent No.1 by Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer.</p> <p>None for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>Respondent No.3 by Shri C. R. Sahu, Advocate.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a representation on 30.2.2002 (Annexure P/10) which has not been decided till date. He further submits that the petitioner wants to file a fresh representation, raising his grievances to the respondents concerned and prays that the respondents may be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner.</p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 1 and 3 have no objection to the above prayer.</p> <p>In view of the foregoing, this petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation raising his grievances to the concerned respondents within a period of 15 days from today and the respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation.</p> <p>Certified copy, as per Rules.</p> |                                                           |

Sd/-  
Satish K. Agnihotri  
Judge

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000